## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## Docket No. 36900

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2010 Unpublished Opinion No. 530
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: June 28, 2010
v.	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
PAZ BELTRAN CHAIDEZ,	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
Defendant-Appellant.	<ul><li>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</li><li>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</li></ul>
	)

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Gregory M. Culet, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of six years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for delivery of cocaine, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge, GUTIERREZ, Judge and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Paz Beltran Chaidez was convicted of delivery of cocaine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(a)(1)(A). The district court imposed a unified sentence of six years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year. Chaidez appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho

722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Chaidez's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.